

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/266,680	03/11/1999	JEFF YOUNG	07844/292001	6131
21070	9590 05/14/2003 HARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER	
500 ARGUELI SUITE 500			BASHORE, V	WILLIAM L
	CITY, CA 94063		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/266,680	YOUNG ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William L. Bashore	2176				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sh t with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing db. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advive event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · —	·	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 14-36.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) □ approved or b) □ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.			
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449)Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		JOSEPH PRIMARY I	H. FEILD EXAMINER			

0

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 009/266,680



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitation regarding at least one element mapped to a differeint lement type in a second docum nt based on said identified common patterns, changes the scop of the claimed invention when read as a whole. Accordingly, said am ndment would requir further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: said request for r consideration is substantially based upon amended subject matter as explained above.